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**Reading critique #2**

**Paper :** Abelson, Harold, et al. "Keys under doormats: mandating insecurity by requiring government access to all data and communications." Journal of Cybersecurity 1.1 (2015): 69-79.

1. **Summary**

This paper dicusses about the *exceptional access* for law enforcement organizations. It tries to define and presume the requirements while on the contary showing its infeasibility. By showing debates since 1994 till nowadays and several senario analysis, it was clear that *exceptional access* would cause high security risk, intractable foreign affairs, broader costs to the economy, thwart to innovations, intrusion to individual privacy, etc. Finally, this paper brings out more unanswered questions for this topic as an end.

1. **Strength of the paper**

This paper dicussed about nearly all aspect of impacts which *exceptional access* would bringand it clearly shows that why *exceptional access* is impratical with current internet systems.

1. **Weakness of the paper**

It is really painful to read this paper with such a great number of abstruse words in it. Very painful.

1. **My reflection**

There is no denying that survilliance with clarity and transparency by the government can truly bring benefits. However, it is currently impossible to reach since there are still so much problem in every aspect (technical, jurisdictional, privacy, anonymity) needs to be solved.